CATIONICALLY CHARGED COATING ON HYDROPHOBIC POLYMER FIBERS WITH POLY(VINYL ALCOHOL) ASSIST

Publication number: WO0036207

Publication date:

2000-06-22

Inventor:

WEI NING; LYNG ROBERT JOHN; VARRIALE MONICA

GRACIELA

Applicant:

KIMBERLY CLARK CO (US)

Classification:

- international:

B01D39/16; B01D39/20; D06M15/333; D06M15/55; D06M15/59; D06M15/61; D06M23/10; B01D39/16; B01D39/20; D06M15/21; D06M15/37; D06M23/00;

(IPC1-7): D06M15/564; B01D39/16

- European:

B01D39/16B4: B01D39/20K: D06M15/333: D06M15/55:

D06M15/59; D06M15/61; D06M23/10

Application number: WO1999US30092 19991216
Priority number(s): US19980216087 19981218

Also published as:

EP1149198 (A1) US6673447 (B2) US6537614 (B1) US2002122939 (A1) EP1149198 (A0)

more >>

Cited documents:

EP0496218
US4915839
US4230573
EP0077633
EP0347755

Report a data error here

Abstract of WO0036207

A hydrophobic polymer fiber having a cationically charged coating thereon, in which the coating includes a functionalized cationic polymer, such as an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamino-amine, which has been crosslinked by heat. The present invention also provides a fibrous filter which includes hydrophobic polymer fibers having the foregoing cationically charged coating thereon. The present invention further provides a method of preparing a fibrous filter. The method involves providing a fibrous filter comprised of hydrophobic polymer fibers; treating the fibrous filter with an aqueous solution of a functionalized cationic polymer crosslinkable by heat under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with the functionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution includes the functionalized cationic polymer, a poly(vinyl alcohol), a polar solvent for the poly(vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink the functionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers.

Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - Worldwide

CATIONICALLY CHARGED COATING ON HYDROPHOBIC POLYMER FIBERS WITH POLY(VINYL ALCOHOL) ASSIST

Description of WO0036207

Translate this text

CATIONICALLY CHARGEDCOATING ON HYDROPHOBIC POLYMER FIBERS WITH POLY (VINYL ALCOHOL) ASSIST Background of the Invention

The present invention relates to filter materials. More particularly, the present invention relates to charge-modified filters.

Charge-modified filters are known in the art. They typically consist of microporous membranes or involve the use of materials which are blends of glass fibers and cellulose fibers or blends of cellulose fibers and siliceous particles. Charge modification generally was accomplished by coating the membrane or atleast some of the fibers with a chargemodifying agent and a separatecrosslinking agent in order to ensure the durability of the coating.

While microporous membranes generally are capable of effective filtration, flow rates through the membrane typically are lower than for fibrous filters. Moreover, microporous membranes also generally have higher back pressures during the filtration process than do fibrous filters. Accordingly, there is a need for fibrous filters having effective filtration capabilities for charged particles. Moreover, there also is a need for fibrous filters composed of glass fibers, without the need forcellulosic fibers or siliceous particles.

Apertured films, woven fabrics and nonwoven materials have been used as filter sheets for removing or separating particles from liquids. Such filter sheets generally rely on some form of mechanical straining or physical entrapment. Such filter sheets can pose limitations when the size of the particle to be removed issmall relative to the average pore diameter of the filter sheet. For nonwoven materials, this is particularly true for particles of less than one micrometer in diameter.

Improved filters have been developed with modified surface charge characteristics to capture and adsorb particles byelectrokinetic interaction between the filter surface and particles contained in an aqueous liquid. Such charge-modified filters typically consist of microporous membranes or involve the use of materials which are blends of glass fibers and cellulose fibers or blends of cellulose fibers and siliceous particles. Charge modification generally was accomplished by coating the membrane or atleast some of the fibers with a charge-modifying agent and a separatecrosslinking agent in order to ensure the durability of the coating.

While microporous membranes generally are capable of effective filtration, flow rates through the membrane typically are lower than for fibrous filters. Moreover, microporous membranes also generally have higher back pressures during the filtration process than do fibrous filters.

The use of fibers prepared from synthetic polymers is desirable because such fibers are inexpensive and they can be formed into nonwoven webs having porosities which are appropriate for the filtration of particles from a fluid stream. Many of such synthetic polymers, however, are hydrophobic, a characteristic which makes it difficult to durably coat fibers prepared from such polymers with a charge-modifying material. Accordingly, opportunities exist for improved charge-modified filter materials which are based on hydrophobic polymer fibers.

Stated differently, it would be desirable if certain inexpensive materials could be used to produce filters having modified surface charge characteristics for filtering very fine particles from aqueous liquids. For example, polyolefins are widely used in the manufacture of sheets of apertured films, woven fabrics, and nonwoven materials. Many types of polyolefin sheets tend to be hydrophobic and relatively inert. That is, the low surface free energy of polyolefins (e. g., polypropylene) and their relatively chemically inert natures render many unmodified polyolefinsill-suited for filter sheet applications in which a modified surface charge is desired for removing particles from an aqueous liquid. For example, many chemical charge modifiers (e. g., cationic resins, charged particles, etc.) adhere poorly, if at all, to conventional unmodified, hydrophobic polyolefin sheets.

In the past, chemical coatings and/or internal additives have been added to filter sheets made of

inexpensive materials to impart desired properties. Many of these coatings and/or additives present problems related to cost, effectiveness, durability and/or the environment.

It has been proposed thatbiofunctional materials (e. g., proteins) can be deposited from solutions onto different substrates (i. e., sheets of materials) to modify the surface properties of the substrates and/or serve as afunctionalized surface that can be chemically reactive. However, many of the economically desirable substrates (e. g., substrates formed of polymers such as polyolefins) have surfaces that are unsuitable for the rapid and inexpensive deposition ofbiofunctional materials, especially when durable, tightly bound coatings of satisfactory adherence are desired.

Even if inexpensive, durable, tenacious coatingscould be adhered to an economically desirable substrate, coatings composed solely ofbiofunctional materials (e. g., proteins) may have limitations, especially if the coatings lacked the desired chemical characteristics such as, for example, modified surface charge characteristics.

Thus, there isstill a need for a practical and inexpensive chemically charged modified filter for removing micron to sub-micron sized charged particles from an aqueous liquid. A need exists for such a filter formed from an unmodified, relatively inert, hydrophobic substrate such as, for example, an unmodified, relatively inert, polyolefin substrate. A need also exists for a method of removing charged, micron to sub-micron sized particles from aqueous liquids utilizing a practical and inexpensive chemically charge modified filter such as, for example, a chemically charge-modified filter formed from an unmodified, relatively inert, polyolefin substrate

In addition to the needs described above, there is a need for a simple, practical and inexpensive chemically charge-modified filter for removing waterborne pathogens from aqueous liquid. This need also extends to a simple method for removing waterborne pathogens from aqueous liquids utilizing a practical and inexpensive chemically chargemodified filter.

One phenomenon observed with some filters having a modified surface charge characteristic is that the filters have different filtration efficiencies for different types of waterborne pathogens, such as, for example, different types of bacteria. That is, some filters having modified surface charges provide acceptable removal of some types of waterborne pathogens (e. g., some types of bacteria), but not others. The nature of thisaffinity appears to be difficult to predict. Since even relativelysmall differences in waterborne pathogen removalefficiency can be important, the discovery that a filter or filter system has anunpredictably strong affinity for a waterborne pathogen would be both unexpected and highly desirable, especially if the filter can be used to produce potable water. Meeting this need is important because removing waterborne pathogens from aqueous liquids in a practical and inexpensive manner remains a challenge in many parts of the world.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention addresses some of the difficulties and problems discussed above by providing a hydrophobic polymer fiber having acationically charged coating thereon, in which the coating includes afunctionalized cationic polymer which has beencrosslinked by heat. By way of example, the functionalized cationic polymer may be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine. As another example, thefunctionalized cationic polymer may be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.

The present invention also provides a fibrous filter which includes hydrophobic polymer fibers having acationically charged coating thereon. The coating includes afunctionalized cationic polymer which has been crosslinked by heat. As before, the functionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.

The present invention further provides a method of preparing a fibrous filter. The method involves providing a fibrous filter comprised of hydrophobic polymer fibers; treating the fibrous filter with an aqueous solution of a functionalized cationic polymercrosslinkable by heat under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with the functionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution comprises the functionalized cationic polymer, a poly (vinyl alcohol), a polar solvent for the poly (vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink thefunctionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers. By way of example, the functionalized cationic polymer may be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or anepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine. Without wishing to be bound by theory, it is believed that the poly (vinyl alcohol) alters thenormally hydrophobic surfaces of the fibers to an extent sufficient to promote the coating of the fibers by the functionalized cationic polymer. In addition, it is believed that some of the hydroxy groups present in the poly (vinyl alcohol) can crosslink with reactive groups present in the functionalized cationic polymer (such as epoxy groups) to form a durable, positively charged coating on the fibers.

The present invention additionally provides a method of preparing a fibrous filter. The method includes providing a fibrous filter comprised of hydrophobic polymer fibers; passing a solution of afunctionalized cationic polymercrosslinkable by heat through the fibrous filter under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with the functionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution includes thefunctionalized cationic polymer, a poly(vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink thefunctionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers. Again, thefunctionalized cationic polymer may be anepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamidoamine.

Detailed Description of the Invention

The term"hydrophobicpolymer"is used herein to mean any polymer resistant to wetting, or notreadily wet, by water, i. e., having a lack of affinity for water. A hydrophobic polymer typically will have a surface free energy of about 40 dynes/cm(10-5 newtons/cm or

N/cm) or less. Examples of hydrophobic polymers include, by way of illustration only, polyolefins, such as poylethylene, poly (isobutene), poly (isoprene), poly(4-methyl-1-pentene), polypropylene, ethylenepropylenecopolymers, and ethylene-propylene-hexadiene copolymers; ethylene-vinyl acetatecopolymers; styrene polymers, such as poly (styrene), poly (2-methylstyrene), styrene-acrylonitrile copolymers having less than about 20 mole- percent acrylonitrile, and styrene-2,2,3,3,-tetrafluoro-propyl methacrylate copolymers; halogenated hydrocarbon polymers, such as poly (chloro-trifluoroethylene), chlorotrifluoroethylene-tetrafluoroethylene copolymers, poly (hexa-fluoropropylene), poly (tetrafluoroethylene), tetrafluoroethylene-ethylene copolymers, poly (trifluoroethylene), poly(vinyl fluoride), and poly (vinylidene fluoride); vinyl polymers, such as poly (vinyl butyrate), poly (vinyl decanoate), poly (vinyl dodecanoate), poly (vinyl hexadecanoate), poly (vinyl hexanoate), poly (vinyl propionate), poly (vinyl octanoate), poly (heptafluoroisoprpoxyethylene), 1-heptafluoroisopropoxy-methylethylene-maleic acidcopolymers, poly (heptafluoroisopropoxypropylene), poly- (methacrylonitrile), poly- (vinyl alcohol), poly-(vinyl butyral), poly (ethoxyethylene), poly (methoxy-ethylene), and poly (vinyl formal); acrylic polymers, such as poly (n-butyl acetate), poly(ethyl acrylate), poly [(1-chlorodifluoromethyl)tetrafluoroethyl acrylate], poly [di(chloro-fluoromethyl) fluoromethyl acrylate], poly (1,1- dihydroheptafluorobutyl acrylate), poly (1,1dihydropenta-fluoroisopropyl acrylate), poly (1,dihydropentadeca-fluorooctyl acrylate), poly (heptafluoroisopropyl acrylate), poly [(heptafluoroiospropoxy)-pentyl acrylate], poly [11- (heptafluoroiospropoxy) undecyl acrylate], poly [2- (heptafluoropropoxy) ethyl acrylate], and poly (nonafluoroisobutyl acrylate); methacrylic polymers, such as poly (benzyl methacrylate), poly (n-butyl methacrylate), poly (isobutyl methacrylate), poly (t-butyl methacrylate), poly (t-butylaminoethyl methacrylate), poly (dodecyl methacrylate), poly (ethyl methacrylate), poly (2-ethylhexyl methacrylate), poly (n-hexyl methacrylate), poly (dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate), poly (hydroxyethyl methacrylate), poly (phenyl methacrylate), poly (npropyl methacrylate), poly (octadecyl methacrylate), poly (1,1-dihydropentadecafluorooctyl methacrylate), poly (heptafluoroisopropyl methacrylate), poly (heptadecafluorooctyl methacrylate), poly (1hydrotetrafluoroethyl methacrylate), poly (1, 1-dihydrotetrafluoropropyl methacrylate), poly (1hydrohexafluoroisopropyl methacrylate), and poly (t-nonafluorobutyl methacrylate);polyethers, such as poly (chloral), poly (oxybutene) diol, poly (oxyisobutene)diol, poly (oxydecamethylene), poly (oxyethylene)dimethyl ether polymers havingmolecular weights below about 1,500, poly(oxyhexamethylene) diol, poly (oxypropylene) diol, poly (oxypropylene)-dimethyl ether, and poly (oxytetramethylene); polyether copolymers, such aspoly (oxyethylene)-poly (oxypropylene)-poly (oxyethylene) block copolymers, oxyethylene-oxypropylenecopolymers having greater than about 20 mole percent oxypropylene, oxytetramethylene-oxypropylene copolymers, andblock copolymers havingoxyethylene-oxypropylene copolymer blocks separated by a poly (oxydimethylsilylene) block; polyamides, such aspoly [imino (1oxodecamethylene)], poly [imino(1-oxododeca-methylene)] or nylon 12,poly [imino (1-oxohexamethylene)] or nylon 6, poly [imino(1-oxotetramethylene)] or nylon 4, poly (iminoazelaoyliminononamethylene), poly (iminosebacoyliminodecamethylene), and poly (iminosuberoyliminooctamethylene); polyimines, such as poly[(benzoylimino) ethylene], poly [(butyrylimino) ethylene], poly [(dodecanoylimino)- ethylene], (dodecanoylimino) ethylene- (acetyleimino) trimethylene copolymers, poly [(heptanoylimino) ethylene], poly [(hexanoylimino) ethylene], poly { [(3-methyl) butyryl- imino] ethylene}, poly [(pentadecafluorooctadecanoylimino) ethylene], and poly[(pentanoyl-imino)ethylene]; polyurethanes, such as those prepared from methylenediphenyl diisocyanate and butanediol poly (oxytetramethylene)diol, hexamethylene diisocyanate and triethylene glycol, and 4-methyl-1, 3-phenylene diisocyanate and tripropylene glycol; polysiloxanes, such as poly (oxydimethylsilylene) and poly (oxymethylphenylsilylene); and cellulosics, such as amylose, amylopectin, cellulose acetate butyrate, ethyl cellulose, hemicellulose, and nitrocellulose.

As used herein, the terms cationically charged in reference to a coating on a hydrophobic polymer fiberand cationic in reference to the functionalized polymer mean the presence in the respective coating and polymer of a plurality of positively charged groups.

Thus, the terms cationically charged and positively charged are synonymous. Such positively charged groups typically will include a plurality of quaternary ammonium groups, but they are not necessarily limited thereto.

The term"functionalized"is used herein to mean the presence in the cationic polymer of a plurality of functional groups, other than the cationic groups, which are capable ofcrosslinking when subjected to heat. Thus, the functional groups are thermally crosslinkable groups. Examples of such functional groups include epoxy, ethylenimino, and episulfido. These functional groupsreadily react with other groups typically present in the cationic polymer. The other groups typically have at least one reactive hydrogen atom and are exemplified by amino, hydroxy, and thiol groups. It may be noted that the reaction of a functional group with another group often generates still other groups which are capable of reacting with functional groups. For example, the reaction of an epoxy group with an amino group results in the formation of aFhydroxyamino group.

Thus, the term"functionalized cationicpolymer"is meant to include any polymer which contains a plurality of positively charged groups and a plurality of other functional groups which are capable of being crosslinked by the application of heat. Particularlyuseful examples of such polymers are epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamines, which polymers include epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amines. Both types of polymers are exemplified by the Kymene resins which are available from HerculesInc., Wilmington, Delaware. Other suitable materials include cationically modified starches, such as such as RediBond, from National Starch. Desirably, the functionalized cationic polymer will be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamidoamine.

As used herein, the term"thermally crosslinked"means the coating of thefunctionalized cationic polymer has been heated at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink the above-noted functional groups. Heating temperatures typically may vary from about50 C to about150 C. Heating times in general are a function of temperature and the type of functional groups present in the cationic polymer. For example, heating times may vary from less than a minute to about 60 minutes or more.

As stated earlier, the present invention provides a hydrophobic polymer fiber having acationically charged coating thereon, in which the coating includes a functionalized cationic polymer which has been crosslinked by heat. By way of example, thefunctionalized cationic polymer may be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine. As another example, the functionalized cationic polymer may be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.

The present invention also provides a fibrous filter which includes hydrophobic polymer fibers having acationically charged coating thereon. The coating includes a functionalized cationic polymer which has been crosslinked by heat. As before, the functionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.

In general, the fibrous filter will contain atleast about 50 percent by weight of hydrophobic polymer fibers, based on the weight of all fibers present in the filter. In some embodiments, essentially 100 percent of the fibers will be hydrophobic polymer fibers. When other fibers are present, however, they generally will becellulosic fibers, glass fibers, or mixtures thereof.

Sources of cellulosic fibers include, by way of illustration only, woods, such as softwoods and hardwoods; straws and grasses, such as rice, esparto, wheat, rye, and sabai; canes and reeds, such as bagasse; bamboos; woody stalks, such as jute, flax, kenaf, and cannabis; bast, such as linen and ramie; leaves, such as abaca and sisal; and seeds, such as cotton and cotton linters. Softwoods and hardwoods are the more commonly used sources of cellulosic fibers; the fibers may be obtained by any of the commonly used pulping processes, such as mechanical, chemimechanical, semichemical, and chemical processes.

Examples of softwoods include, by way of illustration only, longleaf pine, shortleaf pine, loblolly pine, slash pine, Southern pine, black spruce, white spruce, jack pine, balsam fir, douglas fir, western hemlock, redwood, and red cedar. Examples of hardwoods include, again by way of illustration only, aspen, birch, beech, oak, maple and gum.

The hydrophobic polymer fibers desirably will be fibers prepared from thermoplastic polyolefins, or mixtures thereof. Examples of thermoplastic polyolefins include polyethylene, polypropylene, poly (1-butene), poly (2-butene), poly (1-pentene), poly (2-pentene), poly (4-methyl-1-pentene), and the like. In addition, the term"polyolefins" is meant to include blends of two or more polyolefins and random andblock copolymers prepared from two or more different unsaturated monomers. Because of their

commercial importance, the most desirable polyolefins are polyethylene and polypropylene.

The fibrous filter (either before or after processing) may have a basis weight ranging from about 6 gsm to about 400 gsm. For example, the fibrous filter may have a basis weight ranging from about 12 gsm to about 250 gsm. Desirably, the fibrousfi! ter may have a basis weight ranging from about 17 gsm to about 102 gsm. However, any number of the fibrous filters of the present invention may be joined together or joined to other materials to form a consolidated material that may have a basis weight within the range of 6 gsm to 400 gsm or even greater (e. g., greater than 400 gsm).

The present invention further provides a method of preparing a fibrous filter. The method involves providing a fibrous filter comprised of hydrophobic polymer fibers; treating the fibrous filter with an aqueous solution of afunctionalized cationic polymercrosslinkable by heat under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with thefunctionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution comprises thefunctionalized cationic polymer, a poly(vinyl alcohol), a polar solvent for the poly (vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink thefunctionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers. By way of example, thefunctionalized cationic polymer may be anepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or anepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.

As a practical matter, the aqueous solution of thefunctionalized cationic polymer typically will include from about 0.1 to about 2 percent by weight of thefunctionalized cationic polymer, from about 0.1 to about 4 percent by weight of the poly (vinyl alcohol), and from about 10 to about 50 percent by weight of the polar solvent, with the remainder of the solution being water. By way of example, the aqueous solution of the functionalized cationic polymer may include from about 0.2 to about 1 percent by weight of thefunctionalized cationic polymer, from about 0.1 to about 2 percent by weight of the poly(vinyl alcohol), and from about 15 to about 45 percent by weight ofisopropyl alcohol, with the remainder of the solution being water.

Poly (vinyl alcohol) is manufactured commercially by the hydrolysis of poly(vinyl acetate). The physical properties of poly (vinyl alcohol) largely are a function of the degree of hydrolysis and molecular weight. The poly (vinyl alcohol) useful in the present invention is that which generally is referred to as hot water-soluble poly (vinyl alcohol). Such material is highly hydrolyzed, having a degree of hydrolysis of atleast about 98 percent. Desirably, the poly (vinyl alcohol) will have a degree of hydrolysis of 99 percent or greater. The molecular weight of the poly (vinyl alcohol) typically will be atleast about 10,000, which corresponds approximately to a degree of polymerization of atleast about 200. Desirably, the poly(vinyl alcohol) will have a molecular weight of from about 15,000 to about 20,000, which corresponds approximately to a degree of polymerization range of from about 325 to about 435.

In general, the polar solvent may be any organic solvent in which the poly (vinyl alcohol) is partially or completely soluble. Examples of polar solvents include, by way of illustration only, loweralcohols, such as methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, isopropanol, 1butanol, isobutanol, andt-butyl alcohol; ketones, such as acetone, methyl ethyl ketone, and diethyl ketone; dioxane; andN, N-dimethylformamide. Desirably, the polar solvent will be isopropyl alcohol.

Finally, the present invention addition provides a method of preparing a fibrous filter, in which the method includes providing a fibrous filter composed of hydrophobic polymer fibers; passing a solution of afunctionalized cationic polymercrosslinkable by heat through the fibrous filter under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with thefunctionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution includes thefunctionalized cationic polymer, a poly (vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink thefunctionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers. Again, thefunctionalized cationic polymer may be an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine or an epichlorohydrifunctionalized polyamido-amine.

Depending upon thefunctionalized cationic polymer, it may be either desirable or necessary to adjust the pH of the aqueous solution containing the polymer. For example, aqueous solutions ofepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamines or epichlorohydrifunctionalized polyamido-amines desirably have pH values which are basic or slightly acidic.

For example, the pH of such solutions may be in a range of from about 6 to about 10. The pH is readily adjusted by means which arewell known to those having ordinaryskill in the art. For example, the pH may be adjusted by the addition to the polymer solution of typically dilute solutions of a mineral acid, such as hydrochloric acid orsulfuric acid, or an alkaline solution, such as a solution of sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, or ammonium hydroxide.

The solution of thefunctionalized cationic polymer may be passed through the fibrous filter by any means known to those having ordinaryskill in the art. For example, the solution may be pulled through the filter by reducing which is opposite the side against which the solution has been applied. Alternatively, the solution may be forced through the filter by the application of pressure.

Once the fibers of the filter have been coated with the functionalized cationic polymer, the polymer is crosslinked by the application of heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink the functional groups present in the polymer. Temperatures typically may vary from about 50 C to about 150 C. Heating times in general are a function of temperature and the type of functional groups present in the cationic polymer. For example, heating times may vary from about 1 to about 60 minutes or more.

The present invention is further described by the examples whichfollow. Such examples, however, are not to be construed as limiting in any way either the spirit or the scope of the present invention. In the examples, all parts and percents are by weight.

Example 1

A poly (vinyl alcohol) solution was prepared by heating 0.5 part of poly (vinyl alcohol) (Catalog No. 18,934-0, Aldrich Chemical Company, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 88 percenthydrolyzed and a weight-averagemolecular weight of 96,000) in 99.5 parts of water at85 C until the polymer had dissolved. The resulting solution was cooled to ambient temperature (about20 -25 C), to which an aqueous solution of an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine(Kymene'450,20 percent solids, HerculesInc., Wilmington Delaware) andisopropyl alcohol (99.5 percent, HPLC grade, Aldrich Chemical Company) were added in amounts sufficient to provide a final aqueous solution containing 0.35 percent poly(vinyl alcohol), 0.35 percentKymenee 450, and 29 percent isopropyl alcohol. A meltblown web, 7 inches by 30 inches (about 18 cm by about 76 cm) and having a basis weight of 1 ounce per square yard or osy (about 34 grams per square meter or gsm), was immersed in the final poly (vinyl alcohol) solution until thoroughly wetted (one to two minutes). The saturated web was removed from the solution and air dried. The web then was placed in an oven at85 C for one hour to crosslink the epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine present on the surfaces of the fibers of which the web was composed.

Six layers of the treated web, cut into one-inch (about 2.5-cm) diameter discs, were placed in a stainless steel syringe holder (25-mm diameter, Catalog No. 1980-002, WhatmanInc., Clifton, New Jersey) and challenged with 0.5-micrometer diameter polystyrene latex microparticles (with carboxylic acid functional groups which gave a surface titration value of 7.0teq/g) without surfactant (Bangs Laboratory,Inc., Fishers, Indiana) suspended in water at a concentration of 108 particles per ml. The particle suspension was passed through the layered filter by means of a syringe attached to the syringe holder.

Greater than 99.9 percent of the particles were removed by the six layers of treated web.

Greater than 99.9 percent of the particles were removed by filtering the solution through the web layers which had a combined basis weight of 6 osy (about 203 gsm).

Example 2

A poly (vinyl alcohol) solution was prepared by heating 1 part ofpoly (vinyl alcohol) (Elvanol 7006, Dupont Chemical, Wilmington Delaware) in 99 parts of water at85 C until the polymer had dissolved. After the solution had cooled to ambient temperature(20 -25 C), 50 ml of the solution was thoroughly mixed with 2 mi of anepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine solution(KymeneX 2064,20 percent solids, HerculesInc., Wilmington, Delaware), 30 ml of isopropyl alcohol (99.5 percent, HPLC grade, Aldrich Chemical Company), and 18 ml of deionized water. A 7-inch by 52-inch (about 18-cm by 132-cm) regular polypropylenemeltblown web was soaked in 100 ml of the poly (vinyl alcohol)/functionalized cationic polymer solution until it wascompletely wet by the solution. The web was removed from the solution and passed through a laboratory wringer to removeresidual solution. The web wasallowed to air dry and then was heated in an oven at85 C for one hour to crosslink the epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine present on the surfaces of the fibers of which the web was composed.

Circular samples having a diameter of 1.875 inches (about 4.8 cm) were cut from the resulting treated web. A filter consisting of six layers of the circular samples was placed in a 2-inch (about 5.1-cm) diameter Nalgene reusable filter holder (250ml, Nalgene # 300-4000,

Nalge Nunc International, Naperville, Illinois). The filter was washed in the filter holder with five liters of deionized water. The filter capture efficiency then was tested against 100 ml of the 0.5-micrometer diameter polystyrene latex microparticles employed in Example 1. The particle suspension was passed through the

filters by gravity. Greater than 90 percent of the particles were removed by filtering the suspension through the layered filter which had a combined basis weight of 6 osy (about 204 gsm).

Example 3

A poly (vinyl alcohol) solution was prepared by heating 0.2 part of poly (vinyl alcohol)(Elvanol 7006, Dupont Chemical, Wilmington Delaware) in 99.8 parts of water at85 C until the polymer had dissolved. After the solution had cooled to ambient temperature(20 -25 C), 68 ml of the solution was thoroughly mixed with 2 ml of an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine solution(Kymenee 2064,20 percent solids, HerculesInc., Wilmington, Delaware).

The pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to 10 with an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution, followed by the addition of 30 ml ofisopropyl alcohol (99.5 percent, HPLC grade, Aldrich Chemical Company). A7-inch by 52-inch (about 18-cm by 132-cm) regular polypropylene meltblown web was soaked in 100 ml of the poly (vinyl alcohol)/functionalized cationic polymer solution until it wascompletely wet by the solution. The web was removed from the solution and passed through a laboratory wringer to removeresidual solution. The web was allowed to air dry and then was heated in an oven at85 C for one hour to crosslink the epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine present on the surfaces of the fibers of which the web was composed.

Circular samples having a diameter of 1.875 inches (about 4.8 cm) were cut from the resulting treated web. A filter consisting of six layers of the circular samples was placed in a 2-inch (about 5.1-cm) diameter Nalgene reusable filter holder (250 ml, Nalgene # 300-4000,

Nalge Nunc International, Naperville, Illinois). The filter was washed in the filter holder with four liters of deionized water. The filter capture efficiency then was tested against 100 ml of the 0.5-micrometer diameter polystyrene latexmicroparticles employed in Example 1. The particle suspension was passed through the filters by gravity. Greater than 99.9 percent of the particles were removed by filtering the suspension through the layered filter which had a combined basis weight of 6 osy (about 204 gsm).

Example 4

A poly (vinyl alcohol) solution was prepared by heating 0.2 part of poly (vinyl alcohol)(Elvanol'7006, Dupont Chemical, Wilmington Delaware) in 97 parts of water at85 C until the polymer had dissolved. After the solution had cooled to ambient temperature(20 -25 C), it was thoroughly mixed with 3 ml of the epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine solution employed in Example 3(Kymenee 2064,20 percent solids, HerculesInc., Wilmington,

Delaware). A filter consisting of six layers of a regular meltblown web was prepared, in which each layer was a circular disk having a diameter of 1.875 inches (about 4.8 cm). The filter was placed in a 2-inch (about 5.1-cm) diameterNalgene reusable filter holder (250 ml,Nalgene # 300-4000,Nalge Nunc International,Naperville). The epichlorohydrifunctionalized polyamine solution prepared above was pulled through the filter over a period of about 10 seconds by reducing the pressure on the downstream side of the filter holder. It was observed that this treatment rendered the webwettable. The filter then was heated in an oven at85 C for one hour to crosslink theepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine present on the surfaces of the fibers of which the web was composed.

After being heated, the filter was washed first with 4 liters of deionized water and then with 100ml of a 0.1 percent by weight aqueous sodium chloride solution. The captureefficiency of the filter was tested as described in Example 3. Greater than 99.9 percent of the particles were removed by filtering the solution through treated filter which had a combined basis weight of 6 osy (about 204 gsm).

In the example which folios, meltblown materials treated in accordance with the present invention were tested for coating durability, particle capture efficiencies at different flow velocities, and pathogen capture efficiencies.

Example 5

A polypropylene meltblown web having a basis weight of 1 osy (about 34 gsm) was treated essentially as described in the preceding examples. The treatment solution consisted of 400 grams of poly (vinyl alcohol) (Elvanole 7006, Dupont Chemical, Wilmington

Delaware), 600 ml of anepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine solution(Kymenee 2064, 20 percent solids, Hercules Inc., Wilmington, Delaware), and water sufficient to give 22 liters total volume. The solution pH was 7.5 to 8. The web was heated in an oven at85 C for one hour to crosslink theepichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine present on the surfaces of the fibers of which the web was composed.

Particle Capture Efficiencies at Different Flow Velocities

Circular samples having a diameter of 1.875 inches (about 4.8 cm) were cut from the treated web. A filter

consisting of six layers of the circular samples was placed in a 2-inch (about 5.1-cm) diameterNalgene reusable filter holder (250ml, Nalgene # 300-4000, Nalge

NuncInternational, Naperville, Illinois). The filter was washed in the filter holder with 100 ml of a 0.1 percent by weight aqueous sodium chloride solution. The filter captureefficiency then was tested against 100 ml of the 0.5-micrometer diameter polystyrene latex microparticles employed in Example 1. The particle suspension was pulled through the filter by the application of controlled reduced pressure. Greater than 99 percent of the particles were removed by filtering the suspension through the layered filter, which had a combined basis weight of 6 osy (about 204 gsm), at suspension flow velocities which varied from 3.8 to 470 ml min-'inDurability ofCoating After Large Volume of Tap Water

A filter was constructed by wrapping six layers of the treated meltblown web around a perforated metal core. Each layer had a basis weight of 1 osy (about 34 gsm) and an area of 18.2 in2. The resulting structure was secured in a stainless steel holder which was designed to permit a fluid to flow into the outer surface, through all six layers, and into the metal core. Faucet-pressure tap water, roughly 200 gallons (about 757 liters), was passed through the filter. The structure was removed from the holder and each layer was carefully unwrapped from the metal core. Each SheetPercent <SEP> Captured

```
<tb> <SEP> 1 <SEP> 74.1 <SEP> 2.3

<tb> <SEP> 2 <SEP> 77. <SEP> 0 <SEP> 1.8

<tb> <SEP> 3 <SEP> 78.6 <SEP> 3.2

<tb> <SEP> 4 <SEP> 72.8 <SEP> 5.0

<tb> <SEP> 5 <SEP> 74.1 <SEP> 2.3

<tb> <SEP> 6 <SEP> 75. <SEP> 0 <SEP> 0.9

<tb> Control <SEP> 76.6 <SEP> 1.4

<tb> <
```

From Table 1, it is seen that the average particle capture efficiencies varied from about 73 percent to about 79 percent. If standard deviation values are taken into account, the range is slightly broader, i. e., from about 68 percent to about 82 percent. The control web, i. e., a web which had been treated with the functionalized cationic polymer but not subjected to the tap water washing step, had an average particle capture efficiency of about 77 percent. The data in the table suggest the charge-modified coating is durable since the data were obtained after washing the filter with 200 gallons of tap water.

Pathogen Capture Results

Pathogen filter efficacy is defined herein as the ratio of the number of cells remaining in the filtrate to the number of cellsoriginally present in the pathogen suspension. It is determined by plating samples of both the original suspension and the filtrate on tryptic soy agar (TSA) growth media plates(BIBLE TSA plates, Becton-Dickinson, Cockeyville,

Maryland) and counting the number of colonies seen after overnight incubation at 37 C.

One colony forming unit (CFU) translates to one individual viable cell. While this sounds quitestraightforward, such testing is not always without complicating factors. Soluble polycations, such as the functionalized cationic polymer employed in this example, are strongly antimicrobial. Leaching or washing away of the coating will kill any cells in the filtrate. If this occurs, the filters will show artificially high bacterial reduction, due in great part to cell death in solution. Therefore, filter efficacy was determined through a two-part testing protocol, namely, a coating durability test and a filter efficacy assay.

Several layers of the treated polypropylene meltblown web were placed in a filter housing apparatus (Nalgene Filter Holder; Nalgene Inc. Rochester, NY); the average thickness of the layers was 3 mm. To carry out the coating durability test, a100-ml volume of 0.1 percent sterile saline water was passed over the filter, either by gravity flow or under the influence of reduced pressure. The effluent saline then was contaminated to roughly105- 106cells/ml with bacteria and incubated for thirty minutes. Cell concentrations were determined as described above after overnightculturing at37 C. Anycell deaths caused by the leaching of thefunctionalized cationic polymer from the treated webs was apparent by comparing through a comparison with a contaminated control solution, i. e., a contaminated solution which had not been passed through the filter.

The leaching assay or coating durability test is only able to determine detectable amounts of leaching which are related to the sensitivity of a given microorganism to thefunctionalized cationic polymer. Bacterial sensitivity has been established through a minimal inhibitory concentration study (MIC), or the concentration at which no growth was observed.

Table 2 summarizes severalMICs for various microorganisms.

Table 2 Minimal Inhibitory Concentrationsa for Selected Polycations

EMI16.1

```
<SEP> 201bKymene#450cKymene#2064dOrganismReten# <SEP> <tb> <SEP> V.250ppm < 33ppm < 16ppm < <SEP> <tb> <SEP> -- < 33ppm < 16ppmE.coli <SEP> <tb> S. < 16ppm---- <SEP> <tb> <SEP> K. <SEP> -- < -- <SEP> 16 <SEP> ppm < <tb> <SEP> S. <SEP> < 16ppm < 8ppm--- <SEP> <tb> aAs outlined in Microdilution (Tube)Broth Method, NCCLS, Vol. 13, No.
```

25, Dec 1993. bA cationic polymer containing quaternary ammonium groups (Hercules Inc., Wilmington, Delaware).

"An epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine (HerculesInc., Wilmington, Defaware). dAn epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine (Hercules Inc., Wilmington, Delaware).

Filter efficacy was determined by challenging the filter with 100 ml of contaminated 0.1 percent saline water. Bacterial contamination was controlled and set to 105-106 cells/ml.

Flow through the filter was either by gravity flow or under the influence of reduced pressure.

As with the coating durability test, the effluent saline was incubated for thirty minutes. Cell concentrations were determined as described above after plating and overnightculturing at 37 C. The results were compared to the plate counts for the original suspension and recorded as a log reduction. The variables studied included type of microorganism, flow rates through the filter, and suspension pH. The results are summarized in Tables 3-5, inclusive.

Table 3 Filtration Efficacies for Different Microorganisms EMI17.1

```
<SEP> Microorganism <SEP> Log <SEP> Reduction <SEP> Leaching <tb> <SEP> K. <SEP> terrigena <SEP> (ATCC <SEP> 33257)a <SEP> 3.51 <SEP> ~ <SEP> 0.3b <SEP> None <tb> <SEP> E. <SEP> coli <SEP> (ATCC <SEP> 13706 <SEP> 3.36 <SEP> ~ <SEP> 0.3 <SEP> None <tb> aEPA <SEP> test <SEP> microorganism. <tb> bThis represents a complete reduction (or removal) of micro organisms from the suspension by the filter.
```

Table 4 Filtration Efficacies for E. coli at Different Flow Velocities EM117.2

```
Flow <SEP> Velocity <SEP> Log <SEP> Reduction <SEP> Leaching <tb> <SEP> 5 <SEP> ml <SEP> min-'in-2 <SEP> # <SEP> 3.5 <SEP> None <tb> 10 <SEP> ml <SEP> #3.5Nonein-2 <SEP> <tb> 15 <SEP> ml <SEP> #3.5Nonein-2 <SEP> <tb> 20 <SEP> ml <SEP> min-'in-2 <SEP> 2 <SEP> 3. <SEP> 5 <SEP> None <tb> 50 <SEP> ml <SEP> #3.5Nonein-2 <SEP> <tb> Table 5 Filtration Efficacies for K.terragena at Different pH Values EMI17.3
```

```
<tb> <SEP> pH <SEP> Log <SEP> Reduction <SEP> Leaching <tb> ~0.12None6.554.53 <SEP> <tb> 7.55 <SEP> 3. <SEP> 51 <SEP> 0. <SEP> 3 <SEP> None
```

<tb> ~0.12None8.533.18 <SEP> <tb>

The data in Tables 3-5 suggest the following: small differences in filtration efficaces may exist for different microorganisms with a

given filter (it must be recognized, however, that such differences probably can be eliminated by optimizing a filter material for a given microorganism); within the range studied, filtration efficacy is not dependent upon the velocity of the flow

through the filter; and 'for the microorganism studied, filtration efficacy is dependent upon the pH of the liquid

being filtered; this effect was expected since the net charge of the microorganism is pH dependent.

While the specification has been described in detail with respect to specific embodiments thereof, it will be appreciated that those skilled in the art, upon attaining an understanding of the foregoing, mayreadily conceive of alterations to, variations of, and equivalents to these embodiments. Accordingly, the scope of the present invention should be assessed as that of the appended claims and any equivalents thereto.

Data supplied from the **esp@cenet** database - Worldwide

CATIONICALLY CHARGED COATING ON HYDROPHOBIC POLYMER FIBERS WITH POLY(VINYL ALCOHOL) ASSIST

Claims of WO0036207 Translate this text

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1. A hydrophobic polymer fiber having acationically charged coating thereon, the coating comprising: a functionalized cationic polymer which has been crosslinked by heat
- 2. The hydrophobic polymer fiber of claim 1, in which the functionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine.
- 3. The hydrophobic polymer fiber of claim 2, in which thefunctionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.
- 4. A fibrous filter comprising hydrophobic polymer fibers having acationically charged coating thereon, the coating comprising: afunctionalized cationic polymer which has been crosslinked by heat.
- 5. The fibrous filter of claim 4, in which thefunctionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine.
- 6. The fibrous filter of claim 5, in which thefunctionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.
- 7. A method of preparing a fibrous filter, the method comprising: providing a fibrous filter comprised of hydrophobic polymer fibers; treating the fibrous filter with an aqueous solution of afunctionalized cationic polymer crosslinkable by heat under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with thefunctionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution comprises thefunctionalized cationic polymer, a poly (vinyl alcohol), a polar solvent for the poly (vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink thefunctionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers.
- 8. The method of claim 7, in which thefunctionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine.
- 9. The method of claim 8, in which thefunctionalized cation polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.
- 10. The method of claim 7, in which the aqueous solution of a functionalized cationic polymer comprises: from about 0.1 to about 2 percent by weight of the functionalized cationic polymer; from about 0.1 to about 4 percent by weight of the poly (vinyl alcohol); and from about 10 to about 50 percent by weight of the polar solvent; with the remainder of the solution being water.
- 11. The method of claim 10, in which the polar solvent is isopropyl alcohol.
- 12. The method of claim 11, in which the aqueous solution of a functionalized cationic polymer comprises: from about 0.2 to about 1 percent by weight of the functionalized cationic polymer; from about 0.1 to about 2 percent by weight of the poly (vinyl alcohol); and from about 15 to about 45 percent by weight of the isopropyl alcohol; with the remainder of the solution being water.
- 13. A method of preparing a fibrous filter, the method comprising: providing a fibrous filter comprised of hydrophobic polymer fibers; passing a solution of afunctionalized cationic polymer crosslinkable by heat through the fibrous filter under conditions sufficient to substantially coat the fibers with thefunctionalized cationic polymer, wherein the solution comprises thefunctionalized cationic polymer, a poly (vinyl alcohol), and water; and treating the resulting coated fibrous filter with heat at a temperature and for a time sufficient to crosslink thefunctionalized cationic polymer present on the hydrophobic polymer fibers.

- 14. The method of claim 13, in which thefunctionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamine.
- 15. The method of claim 14, in which thefunctionalized cationic polymer is an epichlorohydrin-functionalized polyamido-amine.
- 16. The method of claim 13, in which the aqueous solution of afunctionalized cationic polymer comprises: from about 0.1 to about 4 percent by weight of thefunctionalized cationic polymer; and from about 0.1 to about 2 percent by weight of the poly(vinyl alcohol); with the remainder of the solution being water.
- 17. The method of claim 16, in which the polar solvent is isopropyl alcohol.
- 18. The method of claim 17, in which the aqueous solution of a functionalized cationic polymer comprises: from about 0.2 to about 2 percent by weight of the functionalized cationic polymer; and from about 0.1 to about 1 percent by weight of the poly (vinyl alcohol); with the remainder of the solution being water.

Data supplied from the **esp@cenet** database - Worldwide